



Georgia's
State Water Plan

CM3 Evaluation Results
Meeting 4, November 11, 2009

www.georgiawaterplanning.org

Council Member Evaluation Feedback

- All of the respondents (87%) felt that the meeting provided ample explanation of the goals and timeframe of the regional water planning process and helped them understand their roles and responsibilities as council members.
- The council meeting was extremely useful for 53% of the respondents, and 40% of the respondents found it to be somewhat useful.
- All the respondents (100%) have used the regional council web site.

Council Member Evaluation Feedback

Question <i>Council meeting rating for...</i>	Excellent	Good	Fair	Total count
Completing the initial regional vision	86%	7%	7%	14
Planning for joint meetings	7%	80%	13%	15
Overview and status update on the resource assessments	14%	71%	14%	14
The introduction of municipal and industrial water demand forecasting methodology	7%	80%	13%	15
The presentation of final agricultural forecasts	67%	20%	13%	15
The introduction and overview of management practices	13%	50%	25%	8

Council Member Evaluation Feedback

Question <i>Understanding of...</i>	Completely	Pretty well	Barely	Total count
The purpose of the joint meetings	13%	87%	0%	15
The purpose of the resource assessments	29%	64%	7%	14
The purpose of the agricultural forecasts and how they were developed	27%	53%	20%	15
The purpose of the municipal and industrial water demand forecasts and how they will be developed	7%	80%	13%	15
The purpose of the management practices and how they will be determined	11%	78%	0%	9